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This study examined the potential relationship between cognitive distortions and empathy in a sample of adolescents adjudicated for illegal sexual behavior (AISB). According to the U.S. Department of Justice, approximately 32% of arrests made for sexual offenses involve suspects identified as minors (Eastman, 2004). Improving efforts to understand the etiology of adolescent sexual offending benefits all other assessment, treatment, and policy efforts involving these youth and their families (Seto & Lalumiere, 2010). Two common treatment goals when working with this group are increasing levels of empathy and cognitive restructuring (Eastman, 2004). Cognitive distortions are ways by which people rationalize and excuse their illegal or otherwise socially inappropriate behavior through maladaptive thought patterns, beliefs, and ideas (McCrady et al., 2008). These distortions may block empathic responses by misinterpreting the sexual encounter without those moral feelings typically associated with abuse, such as remorse.

Data was collected from a pre-treatment interview of 732 male adolescents ages 12-17 in a court-mandated residential treatment facility. Cognitive distortions were measured using the Adolescent Cognition Scale, a 32-item forced choice (T/F) questionnaire describing a range of sexual attitudes, values, or behaviors (Hunter et al., 1991). A sample item may read, “A very young child can make a decision about having sex with me.” An endorsement of “true” would indicate a cognitive error about socially appropriate sexual behavior. The total number of cognitive errors was entered as the predictive variable. Empathy was assessed using the Juvenile Sex Offender Assessment Protocol-II (JSOAP-II; Prentky & Righthand, 2003). The JSOAP-II is a 28-item clinician administered actuarial risk assessment designed for and widely used with AISB (Petersen, 2010). The JSOAP-II provides four scales of risk assessment and an overall risk score. The intervention scale (Factor 3), which looks at accepting responsibility, internal motivation for change, empathy, remorse, guilt, and cognitive distortions, was entered as the outcome variable in the current analyses.

Linear regression was performed to assess the relationship between cognitive distortions and a lack of empathy. The overall sample mean on the Adolescent Cognition Scale was 4.36 (SD=4.08), with only 2% scoring 15 or more cognitive errors. A statistically significant positive relationship was found between the two variables indicating that those with higher levels of cognitive distortions shared higher levels of intervention-related risk factors such as lack of empathy, \( F(1,731) = 10.33, \ p = 0.001 \). See Figure 1 for scatterplot of main study variables.

These results support previous findings on cognitive distortions and a lack of empathy, which may impede intervention efforts when working with AISB (Pithers, 1999, Varker et al., 2008). The clinical implications of these results cannot be denied, given that empathy building is used in 94% of treatment programs for AISB (Varker et al., 2008). Furthermore, the endorsement of cognitive distortions can distinguish treated from untreated juvenile offenders in risk evaluations (Eastman, 2004). Thus, reducing cognitive errors and increasing victim empathy together enhances the ability to feel moral emotions which can trigger motivation for change and engagement within the treatment process.
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